UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/549,390	09/14/2005	Harold Neal Bramson	PU60144	6328
20462 7590 11/06/2007 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220			· EXAMINER	
			GITOMER, RALPH J	
P. O. BOX 153	9. BOX 1539 IG OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KING OF FRO	331A, 1 A 13400-0333		1657	J
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

7		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/549,390	BRAMSON ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ralph Gitomer	1657		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2007.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	on of Claims		•		
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-42</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application	on Papers		,		
10) 🗀 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage		
Attachment(•				
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/549,390

Art Unit: 1657

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-30, in the reply filed on 9/28/07 is acknowledged.

On page 6 lines 14-26 the present specification describes the point of novelty as employing biotinylated FSBA as an activity based probe for protein kinases and LC/MS usefulness as a screening tool for the selection of ATP competitor protein kinase inhibitors. Compared to fluorography, LC/MS allows rapid detection for inhibitor screening. A search reveals this has not been taught or fairly suggested by the prior art.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-8, 10, 12-15, 17, 20, 22-25, 27, 29 are rejected under 35.

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young.

Young (J of Biological Chemistry) entitled "Pyridinyl Imidazole Inhibitors of p38 Mitogen Activated Protein Kinase Bind in the ATP Site" teaches on page 12118 column 1, a test inhibitor, SB203580, and p38 kinase were incubated with FSBA and ATP to determine the kinase inhibitory activity of SB203580. The FSBA is an ATP analogue that covalently modifies the kinase at lysine 72 and precludes ATP binding. On page 12119 Fig. 3 shows the effect of FSBA on the binding of SB203580 to p38 kinase.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,390

Art Unit: 1657

All the features of the claims are taught by Young for the same function as claimed.

Claims 1-4, 6-20, 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a kinase and biotinylated FSBA, does not reasonably provide enablement for "an enzyme" or "an analyte". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In claim 1 and all occurrences the terms "an enzyme" and "an analyte" lack enablement as it would require one of ordinary skill in this art undue experimentation to determine which such enzyme or analyte would work in the instant invention.

The entire scope of the claims has not been enabled because:

- 1. Quantity of experimentation necessary would be undue because of the large proportion of inoperative compounds claimed.
- 2. Amount of direction or guidance presented is insufficient to predict which substances encompassed by the claims would work.
- 3. Presence of working examples are only for kinase and biotinylated FSBA and extension to other compounds has not been specifically taught or suggested.
- 4. The nature of the invention is complex and unpredictable.
- 5. State of the prior art indicates that most related substances are not effective for the claimed functions.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,390

Art Unit: 1657

6. Level of predictability of the art is very unpredictable.

7. Breadth of the claims encompasses an innumerable number of compounds.

8. The level of one of ordinary skill in this art is variable.

In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988)

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of the following applies in all occurrences.

In claim 1(a) "capable of binding" may be more positively stated as "which binds".

Method claim 1 is incomplete where there is no step to accomplish the preamble.

Standard method steps may include determining and correlating.

The title of the invention is not aptly descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The Abstract of the Disclosure is objected to because it does not contain FSBA.

Correction is required. See M.P.E.P. § 608.01(b).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

T'Jampens (FEBS Letters) teaches determining ATP binding of kinases with FSBA but does not determine inhibitors.

Prescott (US 2005/0084905) teaches identifying kinase inhibitors.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,390 Page 5

Art Unit: 1657

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ralph Gitomer

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657